

Submitted via Qualtrics

(Anonymous)

Company/Organisation view

Listed Company

Question 1

Do you agree with the Electronic Instructions Proposal as detailed in paragraphs 29 to 45 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the proposal that involves the delivery of meeting instructions and non-meeting instructions through electronic means.

Question 2

Do you agree with the implementation timeline (including the availability of transitional arrangements) for the Electronic Instructions Proposal as set out in paragraphs 47 to 54 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no comments on the proposed timeline.

Question 3

Do you agree with the Real-time Electronic Payment Proposal as detailed in paragraphs 69 to 74 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree as long as payment by cheque, autopay and FPS are not prohibited.

Question 4

Do you agree with the Electronic Subscription Monies Proposal as detailed in paragraphs 83 to 89 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree as long as it is only an option but not a mandatory requirement.

Question 5

Do you agree that MMOs should no longer be available to issuers as set out in paragraph 99 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no strong opinion on MMOs.

Question 6

Do you agree with the Hybrid General Meeting and E-voting Proposal as detailed in paragraphs 129 to 134 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no strong opinion on the requirement so long as sufficient time is given for listed issuers to amend their constitutional documents.

Question 7

Should issuers be required to provide securities holders with an option to attend general meetings remotely and vote via electronic means (as set out in paragraph 135 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree that shareholders shall be given an option to attend general meeting remotely.

Question 8

Should web accessibility guideline(s) (e.g. WCAG) be incorporated into, or referred to, in the Listing Rules (for example, the CG Code) or the Exchange's guidance, such that any corporate communications made available on issuers' website under the Rules should conform to such guideline(s), as set out in paragraph 146 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no strong opinion on web accessibility guidelines, but we agree that once it is implemented, any corporate communications made available on issuers'™ websites under the Listing Rules should conform to such guidelines.

Question 9

Do you agree with adding a new note to MB Rule 13.46(1) to clarify that the conditions for granting waivers from the publication and distribution requirements of annual results/reports also apply to issuers that are neither overseas issuers nor PRC issuers (see paragraph 151 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no strong views.

Question 10(a)

Do you agree with the following proposed amendments to align requirements:

To amend paragraph 12B of Appendix D2 to the MB Rules (GEM Rule 18.39B) to remove the annual affirmation requirement for independent non-executive director (see paragraph 152 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the amendment.

Question 10(b)

Do you agree with the following proposed amendments to align requirements:

Amend MB Rule 9.11(33) (GEM Rule 12.25(2)) to more accurately reflect the documentary requirements for the registration of a prospectus of C(WUMP)O (see paragraph 154 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree with the amendment.

Question 10(c)

Do you agree with the following proposed amendments to align requirements:

To remove GEM Rule 18.50C to align the requirement on the timeframe for submission of annual report with the MB Rules (see paragraph 155 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no opinion on GEM Rule.

Question 10(d)

Do you agree with the following proposed amendments to align requirements:

To align the market capitalisation information required on Main Board and GEM listing application forms (see paragraph 157 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no views on the GEM listing application forms.

Question 11

Do you agree with the proposal to amend MB Rule 2.07C to cover the types of announcements mentioned in paragraphs 158 and 159 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no views.

Question 12

Do you agree with the proposal to amend MB Rule 37.06 as mentioned in paragraphs 161 to 164 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no views.

Question 13

Do you agree with the proposal to clarify the scope of Professional Debt Issuers' continuing obligation to notify the Exchange of their proposals to amend trust deed (see paragraphs 165 and 166 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no views.

Question 14

Do you agree with the proposal to clarify the scope of Professional Debt Issuers' continuing obligation to submit financial statements to the Exchange (see paragraphs 167 and 168 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no views.

Question 15

Do you agree with the proposal to revise the scope of Public Debt Issuers' continuing obligation to inform and submit drafts to the Exchange with respect to their proposal to amend documents that affect the rights of the holders of their listed debt securities (see paragraphs 169 to 171 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no views.

Question 16

Do you agree with the proposal to clarify the validity period of a debt programme under MB Rule 37.41 (GEM Rule 30.34) (see paragraphs 172 and 173 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no views.

Question 17

Do you agree with the proposal to revise the definition of supranationals under the MB Rules (see paragraphs 174 and 175 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no views.

Question 18

Do you agree with the proposal to require all Public Debt Issuers (except States and supranationals) to publish the English and Chinese versions of

their financial statements (see paragraphs 176 to 178 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no views.

Question 19

Do you agree with the proposal to replace references to “general meeting” with “meeting of holders of the debt securities” in paragraph 9 of Appendix A2 to the MB Rules (paragraph 9 of Appendix A2 to the GEM Rules) (see paragraphs 179 and 180 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

Please give reasons for your reviews.

We have no views.